

In re: Deok-Hyung Lee et al.  
Serial No.: 10/801,614  
Filed: March 16, 2004  
Page 6 of 6

#### REMARKS


In response to the Restriction Requirement of March 4, 2005, Applicants hereby elect Invention II, corresponding to Claims 1-7 and 13-20, drawn to a device. Applicants have canceled Invention I, corresponding to Claims 8-12 and 21-22, drawn to a method. This cancellation is being made without prejudice to the filing of a divisional application for these claims.

Applicants are not traversing the restriction requirement, because Applicants agree that unpatentability of Invention II would not necessarily imply unpatentability of Invention I.

The title has been changed to conform to cancellation of the method claims.

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully requests favorable examination and allowance of Claims 1-7 and 13-20.

Respectfully submitted,




Mitchell S. Bigel  
Registration No. 29,614  
Attorney for Applicants

**Customer Number 20792**  
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.  
P.O. Box 37428  
Raleigh, NC 27627  
919-854-1400  
919-854-1401 (Fax)

#### CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via facsimile number 703-872-9306 on April 1, 2005.



Susan E. Freedman  
Date of Signature: April 1, 2005